4

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

> 26 27

28

Plaintiff, GRACE LA, alleges as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff GRACE E. LA (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), an individual, is a citizen of the city of San Diego, state of California.
- 2. Defendant ANDRÁ LEONARD ALLEN (hereinafter "ALLEN"), is an individual, who is a citizen of the state of Texas.
- 3 Defendant FOREVER DIAMONDS, a business entity of unknown origin, is a citizen of Nevada, with its principal place of business in the state of Nevada.
- 4. This Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, in that it is a civil action between citizens of different states, in which the amount in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the amount of Seventy Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$75,000.00).
 - 5. This Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to:
 - a. Plaintiff's claim for relief arises under federal statutory law, specifically the Securities Act of 1933 ("SA") [15 U.S.C. §§ 77a, et seq.]; and the Security Exchange Act of 1934 [15 U.S.C. §§ 78j, et seq.]
 - b. the court's supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 6. Plaintiff's remaining claims against Defendants for Breach of Contract, Account Stated, Intentional Misrepresentation, Negligent Misrepresentation, Rescission of Sale of Security Induced by Seller's Misrepresentation or Omission of Material Fact, Violation of Nevada Revised Code § 90.660 and Declaratory relief are related to Plaintiff's claim under the SA, to the extent that the claims form part of the same case under Article III of the United States Constitution. Supplemental jurisdiction over the claims for Breach of Contract, Account Stated, Intentional Misrepresentation, Negligent Misrepresentation, Rescission of Sale of Security Induced by Seller's Misrepresentation or Omission of Material Fact, Violation of Nevada Revised Code § 90.660 and Declaratory relief are therefore proper under United States Code, Title 28, Section 1367(a).
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned, each Defendant was the agent, partner or employee of the other Defendants, and in doing the things and making the representations herein alleged was acting in the course and scope of the agency, partnership or employment, and with the knowledge and consent of its fellow Defendants.

10771	I
2	
3	
4	

6 7

5

8 9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

- 8. This Court has personal jurisdictions over both Defendants based upon their minium contacts with Plaintiff in the state of California, which is more specifically plead below. Defendants have purposefully directed their activities and consummated a transaction with Plaintiff, a resident and citizen of this forum. In addition, Defendants have performed acts purposefully availing themselves of the privilege of conducting activities in the forum state, thereby invoking the benefit and protections of this forum's laws. Furthermore, the claims relate to Defendants' forum-related activities. Therefore, the Court's exercise of jurisdiction comports with fair play and substantial justice.
- 9. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a)(2) and (b)(2), based upon the fact that a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district, as more fully discussed herein.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act Against **Defendants ALLEN and FOREVER DIAMONDS)**

- 10. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 9, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 11. This claim arises under the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 12. From at least October 6, 2006, while ALLEN lived and worked in San Jose, California, he repeatedly solicited business in California on behalf of FOREVER DIAMONDS by handing out business cards to members of the public. As a result of these solicitations for business, ALLEN, on behalf of FOREVER DIAMONDS, accepted jewelry business, including but not limited to jewelry repair work, from citizens of the state of California.
- 13. On or about February 5, 2007, Plaintiff entered into an oral agreement with Defendant ALLEN, who represented that he had the authority to act as an agent for Defendant FOREVER DIAMONDS. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, Plaintiff agreed to invest a total sum of One Hundred Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$105,000.00) in FOREVER DIAMONDS.
- 14. Sometime prior to February 5, 2007, Defendant ALLEN made the following misrepresentations of material facts to Plaintiff to induce her to invest in FOREVER DIAMONDS:

- a. ALLEN offered to sell Plaintiff an ownership interest in FOREVER DIAMONDS in exchange for Plaintiff paying him a total sum of One Hundred Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$105,000.00). When ALLEN made these representations on behalf of FOREVER DIAMONDS, he had no intention of ever giving Plaintiff documented proof of her ownership interest in FOREVER DIAMONDS.
- b. Furthermore, ALLEN represented to Plaintiff that investing in FOREVER DIAMONDS would be a sound investment decision for her. ALLEN informed Plaintiff that she would begin to see a profit from her investment by July 2007. ALLEN later told Plaintiff that she would begin to see a profit no later than January 2008. ALLEN's statements to Plaintiff were misleading because when he made them, ALLEN never intended for Plaintiff to realize a profit based upon her investment in FOREVER DIAMONDS.
- c. In addition, when ALLEN solicited Plaintiff to purchase an ownership interest in FOREVER DIAMONDS, he never provided her with a prospectus. ALLEN also failed to inform Plaintiff of her right to review a prospectus. ALLEN failed to disclose Plaintiff's right to review a prospectus and failed to provide her with one because he never intended to give her any profits based upon her investment in FOREVER DIAMONDS.
- 15. Defendant ALLEN knowingly made the misrepresentations of material facts, as outlined in Paragraph 14 herein. Defendant ALLEN made these material misrepresentations with the intent to deceive or defraud Plaintiff.
- 16. Defendants ALLEN and FOREVER DIAMONDS, for the purpose of executing the scheme and artifice to defraud Plaintiff of her money, transmitted and caused to be transmitted communications by means of wire or telephone in interstate commerce. Such interstate commerce communications by wire or telephone included, without limitation, telephone calls between ALLEN and Plaintiff from at least January 17, 2007 through July 6,2007. Approximately one week prior to February 5, 2007, while in Texas, Defendant ALLEN contact Plaintiff in San Jose, California, via

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

- telephone, and requested that she wire him One Hundred Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$105,000.00) for the purpose of Plaintiff investing in FOREVER DIAMONDS. Thereafter, Plaintiff wired ALLEN the money.
- 17. As an officer and/or agent of FOREVER DIAMONDS, Defendant ALLEN owed Plaintiff a duty to disclose the truth.
- 18. ALLEN held himself out to Plaintiff to be a savvy businessman, knowledgeable of the wholesale jewelry business. ALLEN also claimed that he represented Plaintiff's financial interests. Plaintiff reasonably relied upon ALLEN's representations.
- 19. On February 5, 2007, in reliance upon ALLEN's representations, Plaintiff wired a total sum of One Hundred Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$105,000.00) from her bank account in San Jose, California to ALLEN in Texas.
- 20. From and after February 5, 2007, Plaintiff made multiple requests to ALLEN for written documentation confirming her ownership interest in FOREVER DIAMONDS. However, to date, Defendants have failed and refused, and continue to fail and refuse to provide Plaintiff with any such documentation.
- 21. Approximately one week after Plaintiff wired the funds from California to ALLEN's bank account in Texas, Plaintiff spoke to ALLEN by telephone from California, regarding memorializing in writing the terms of her ownership interest in FOREVER DIAMONDS. ALLEN informed Plaintiff that he would consult with his counsel regarding the matter.
- 22. Subsequently, on or about March 2007, ALLEN flew to California and contacted Plaintiff. During their discussion, Plaintiff revisited the issue of memorializing in writing the terms of her ownership interest in FOREVER DIAMONDS. ALLEN informed Plaintiff that he had spoken to his counsel and determined that it would be very complicated. Instead ALLEN recommended that Plaintiff trust him and that the agreement would not be reduced to a writing. Plaintiff trusted ALLEN to represent her best interests and took his advice. Based upon ALLEN's representations, Plaintiff did not consult with any other financial advisors, attorneys or accountants.
- 23. Thereafter, on or about July 2007, Plaintiff contacted ALLEN via e-mail, from California, to inform him that she was moving from San Jose to San Diego. On or about August

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

- 2007, Plaintiff again e-mailed ALLEN, requesting information about her ownership interest in FOREVER DIAMONDS. On or about August 2007, ALLEN responded via telephone and e-mail but refused to provide Plaintiff with the requested information.
- 24. On or about September 2007 ALLEN telephoned Plaintiff in San Diego, California. During the discussion, ALLEN informed Plaintiff that he would not return the One Hundred Five Thousand and NO/100 Dollars (\$105,000.00) investment in FOREVER DIAMONDS, unless Plaintiff agreed to meet with him in person. Plaintiff stated that she did not want to meet in person. Subsequently, ALLEN called Plaintiff via telephone, in San Diego, California, several more times. stating that he intended to come to San Diego to meet with her regarding the investment.
- 25. On ora bout December 20, 2007, Plaintiff's counsel of record, Charles B. Christensen, mailed ALLEN a letter from San Diego, California, to FOREVER DIAMOND's principal place of business in Las Vegas, Nevada, demanding repayment of the monies that ALLEN purportedly invested on Plaintiff's behalf.
- 26. Thereafter, on two (2) separate occasions, ALLEN contacted Plaintiff's counsel by telephone, in San Diego, California, and stated he would not return the money to Plaintiff.
- To date, Defendants have failed and refused, and continue to fail and refuse to provide 27. Plaintiff with any written documentation relating to her ownership interest in FOREVER DIAMONDS and refuse to return Plaintiff's money.
- 28. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct by Defendants ALLEN and FOREVER DIAMONDS, Plaintiff has been damaged in a total sum of One Hundred Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$105,000.00), plus interest at the legally permissible rate, from and after February 5, 2007.

COUNT ONE

(Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 77e Against Defendants FOREVER DIAMONDS and ALLEN)

- 29. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 28, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 30. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. §77e, subdivision (b)(2), which states, "It shall be unlawful for any person, directly or indirectly, to carry or cause to be carried through he mails or in interstate commerce any such security for the purpose or sale or for delivery after sale, unless

5

10

8

accompanied or preceded by a prospectus that meets the requirements of subsection (a) of section 10 [15 U.S.C. § 77j(a)]."

- 31. 15 U.S.C. § 77b, subdivision (a)(1) defined a security to include in pertinent part. "any note, stock, treasury stock, security future, bond, debenture, evidence of indebtedness, certificate of interest or participation in any profit-sharing agreement..." [emphasis supplied]
- 32. 15 U.S.C. § 77b, subd. (a)(7) defines "interstate commerce" as "trade or commerce in securities or any transportation or communication relating thereto among the several States or between the District of Columbia or any Territory of the United States and any State or other Territory, or between any foreign country and any State, Territory, or the District of Columbia, or within the District of Columbia."
- 33. Defendant ALLEN directly caused Plaintiff to send through interstate commerce the sum of One Hundred Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$105,000.00), for the purpose of obtaining an interest in FOREVER DIAMONDS. When ALLEN solicited and subsequently caused Plaintiff to wire him the money across interstate lines for the purpose of investing in FOREVER DIAMONDS, ALLEN failed to provide a prospectus to Plaintiff. To date, ALLEN has failed to provide Plaintiff with a prospectus.

COUNT TWO

(Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 771 Against Defendants FOREVER DIAMONDS and ALLEN)

- 34. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 33, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 35. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 771, which states in pertinent part, "any person who, (1) offers or sells a security in violation of section 5 [15 U.S.C. § 77e], or (2) offers or sells a security (whether or not exempted by the provisions of section 3 [15 U.S.C. § 77c], other than paragraphs (2) and (14) of subsection (a) thereof), by the use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails, by means of a prospectus or oral communication, which includes an untrue statement of a material fact or omits to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading (the purchaser not knowing of such untruth or omission), and who shall not sustain the burden of proof that he did not know, and in the exercise of reasonable care could not have

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

27

26

28

known, of such untruth or omission, shall be liable, subject to subsection (b) to the person purchasing such security from him, who may sue either at law or in equity in any court of competent jurisdiction, to recover the consideration paid for such security with interest thereon, less the amount of any income received thereon, upon the tender of such security, or for damages if he no longer owns the security."

- 36. Defendant ALLEN offered to sell an ownership interest in FOREVER DIAMONDS to Plaintiff by means of interstate commerce and oral communication, using untrue statements of material fact and omissions, as plead in paragraphs 13 through 15.
- 37. At the time that Defendant ALLEN made the untrue statements of material facts and omissions, Plaintiff reasonably relied upon them and tendered a sum of One Hundred Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$105,000.00) for the purpose of investing in FOREVER DIAMONDS. Plaintiff did not know, and in the exercise of reasonable care, could not have known that ALLEN's statements were untrue when he made them.
- 38. To date, Plaintiff has received no income from the consideration that she paid for an interest in FOREVER DIAMONDS. Defendants ALLEN and FOREVER DIAMONDS owe Plaintiff the consideration of One Hundred Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$105,000.00), with interest thereon, from February 5, 2007.
- 39. Plaintiff's pendent state claims include breach of contract; account stated; intentional misrepresentation; negligent misrepresentation; material misrepresentation in securities transaction in violation of California Corporation Code §§ 25000 et seq., particularly §§ 25401, 24501, 24503, 24504 et seq.; rescission based on sale of securities in violation of qualification requirements: accounting; breach of fiduciary duty and declaratory relief.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Pendent Claim - Breach of Contract Against All Defendants)

- 40. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 9 and 12 through 13, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 41. On or about November 14, 2006, Plaintiff entered into an oral agreement with Defendant ALLEN, whereby she agreed to loan him Five Hundred and No/100 Dollars (\$500.00)

as a personal loan. Thereafter, on or about June 29, 2007, Plaintiff entered into an oral agreement with Defendant ALLEN, whereby she agreed to loan him an additional sum of Five Hundred and No/100 Dollars (\$500.00).

- 42. On or about February 5, 2007, Plaintiff entered into an oral agreement with Defendant ALLEN, whereby she agreed to and contemporaneously tendered to him One Hundred Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$105,000.00) for the purpose of investing it in FOREVER DIAMONDS. ALLEN represented himself as an agent of FOREVER DIAMOND when he formed this agreement.
- 43. On or about February 26, 2007, Plaintiff entered into an oral agreement with Defendant ALLEN, whereby she agreed to and contemporaneously tendered to him Seventy Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$75,000.00) for the purpose of investing it in certificate of deposit on Plaintiff's behalf, in Texas.
- 44. Plaintiff has performed all terms and conditions of the agreement that she was obligated to perform.
- 45. On or after February 5, 2007, Defendant ALLEN breached the agreement by receiving funds from Plaintiff totaling One Hundred Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$105,000.00) and failing to invest it on behalf of Plaintiff as he promised. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant ALLEN failed to deposit the funds with FOREVER DIAMONDS; failed to invest the funds on Plaintiff's behalf; and failed to inform Plaintiff of the whereabouts of her money despite repeated inquiries.
- 46. On or about February 26, 2007, Defendant ALLEN further breached the agreement by receiving funds from Plaintiff totaling Seventy Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$75,000.00) and failing to invest it on behalf of Plaintiff as he promised. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant ALLEN failed to deposit the funds in a certificate of deposit; failed to invest the funds on Plaintiff's behalf; and failed to inform Plaintiff of the whereabouts of her money despite repeated inquiries.
- 47. On or about July 28, 2007, and afterward, Plaintiff made repeated oral and written demands to Defendant ALLEN to reimburse her for the two (2) personal loans that she tendered to

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

ALLEN, totaling One Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$1,000.00). Defendant ALLEN failed and refused, and continues to fail and refuse to repay the loan amount to Plaintiff.

- 48. As a direct and proximate result of the breaches by Defendant ALLEN, acting as the agent of FOREVER DIAMONDS, Plaintiff has been damaged in a total sum in excess of One Hundred Five and No/100 Dollars (\$105,000.00).
- 49. As a further direct and proximate result of the breaches by Defendant ALLEN. Plaintiff has been damaged in an additional total sum of Seventy Six Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$76,000.00), plus interest at the legally permissible rate per annum from and after February 26. 2007.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Pendent Claim - Account Stated As Against Defendant ALLEN)

- 50. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 9, 12 through 13 and 40 through 49, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 51. On or about July 28, 2007, an account was stated in writing by and between Plaintiff and Defendant ALLEN and on such statement a balance of One Hundred Eighty One Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$181,000.00) was found due to Plaintiff from Defendant ALLEN, at San Diego, California.
- 52. Although demanded by Plaintiff from Defendant, no portion of the owed sum as been repaid.
- 53. There is now due, owing, and unpaid from Defendant to Plaintiff the sum of One Hundred Eighty One Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$181,000.00), together with interest thereon at the rate of seven percent (7%) per annum, from and after February 26, 2007.
- 54. Plaintiff has incurred attorneys' fees in connection with this matter, in an amount to be determined at trial, which fees Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant pursuant to California Civil Code § 1717.5.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Pendent Claim - Intentional Misrepresentation As Against Defendant ALLEN)

55. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 9, 12 through 13 and 40 through 54, inclusive,

2728

///

investment instruments on Plaintiff's behalf in the state of Texas if she gave

him a total sum of Seventy Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$75,000.00).

- 58. In addition, on two separate occasions, Defendant ALLEN made the following representations to Plaintiff:
 - a. On or about November 14,2006, Defendant stated that he was short on cash and agreed to repay Plaintiff if she loaned him a total sum of Five Hundred and No/100 Dollars (\$500.00);
 - b. Thereafter, on or about June 29, 2007, Defendant again stated that he was short on cash and agreed to repay Plaintiff if she loaned him an additional sum of Five Hundred and No/100 Dollars (\$500.00).
- 59. The representations made by Defendant ALLEN were in fact false in that he never intended to repay Plaintiff for each loan.
- 60. When Defendant ALLEN made these representations, he knew them to be false and made these representations with the intent to deceive, defraud and induce Plaintiff to act in reliance of these representations in the manner hereinafter alleged.
- Plaintiff, at the time these representations were made by Defendant, and at the time Plaintiff took the actions herein alleged, was ignorant of the falsity of Defendant's representations, and believed them to be true. In reliance on these representations, Plaintiff was induced to and did give Defendant One Hundred Eighty Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$180,000.00) to invest on her behalf, plus One Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$1,000.00) as a personal loan, to be repaid to Plaintiff. Had Plaintiff known the actual facts, she would not have taken such action. Plaintiff's reliance on Defendant ALLEN's representations was justified because ALLEN held himself out as a financial advisor, investor and knowledgeable entrepreneur.
- 62. As a proximate result of the fraudulent conduct of Defendant ALLEN as herein alleged, Plaintiff was induced to give Defendant ALLEN a total sum of One Hundred Eighty One Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$181,000.00). However, Plaintiff has received no documentation that any sum of money was ever invested with FOREVER DIAMONDS, on Plaintiff's behalf. In addition, Plaintiff has received no documentation that Defendant ALLEN ever opened any certificates of deposit or investment accounts on her behalf. Furthermore, despite repeated demands for reimbursement of the One Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$1,000.00) that Plaintiff loaned

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Defendant, he has failed and refused, and continues to fail and refuse to repay any portion of the loan and now claims that it was a gift. Based upon the foregoing, Plaintiff has been damaged in a sum of One Hundred Eighty One Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$181,000.00), plus interest at the legally permissible rate per annum.

63. The aforementioned conduct of Defendant ALLEN was an intentional misrepresentation, deceit, or concealment of a material fact known to the Defendant with the intention on the part of the Defendant of thereby depriving Plaintiff of property or legal rights or otherwise causing injury, and was despicable conduct that subjected Plaintiff to a cruel and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights, so as to justify an award of exemplary and punitive damages.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Pendent Claim - Negligent Misrepresentation As Against Defendant ALLEN)

- 64. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 9, 12 through 13, 40 through 58 and 61. inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 65. When Defendant ALLEN made these representations, he had no reasonable ground for believing them to be true. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that ALLEN never invested the sums he received from Plaintiff as promised but instead kept the money for his own personal use.
- 66. Defendant ALLEN made these representations with the intention of inducing Plaintiff to act in reliance on these representations in the manner alleged, or with the expectation that Plaintiff would so act.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Pendent Claim - Rescission Based Upon Misrepresentation in Violation of California Corporation Code §§ 25401, 24501, 24503, 24504, As Against Defendants FOREVER **DIAMONDS and ALLEN)**

67. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 9, 12 through 13, 40 through 58, 61 and 64 through 66, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

///

7

12

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27 28

- 68. Pursuant to California Corporation Code § 25401, "It is unlawful for any person to offer or sell a security in this state... by means of any written or oral communication which includes an untrue statement of a material fact or omits to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading."
- 69. California Corporation Code § 25019 defines a security in pertinent part as, "any note: stock; treasury stock; membership in an incorporated or unincorporated association; bond; debenture; evidence of indebtedness; certificate of interest or participation in any profit-sharing agreement;... or any certificate of interest or participation in, temporary or interim certificate for, receipt for, guarantee of, or warrant or right to subscribe to or purchase, any of the foregoing. All of the foregoing are securities whether or not evidenced by a written document. [Emphasis supplied].
- 70. On or about February 5, 2008, ALLEN, who represented that he had the authority to act as the agent for FOREVER DIAMONDS, offered to sell and sold a interest in FOREVER DIAMONDS to Plaintiff in the state of California, by means of oral communication that included an untrue statement of material fact to Plaintiff that she would secure and ownership interest in FOREVER DIAMONDS and earn a lucrative return on her investments.
- The statements made by ALLEN were untrue as alleged in paragraph 56, subdivision 71. (a) through (e).
- 72. As a result of the material misrepresentations made by ALLEN, Plaintiff is entitled to rescind the above-described purchase.
- 73. Plaintiff before entry of judgment will tender to Defendants the security of the abovedescribed security interest as purchased from Defendants and on which to date Plaintiff has received no income.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Pendent Claim - Rescission Based Upon Sale of Securities in Violation of Qualification Requirements As Against Defendants FOREVER DIAMONDS and ALLEN)

74. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 9, 12 through 13, 40 through 58, 61 and 67 through 73, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

///

///

- 75. On or about February 5, 2007, Defendant ALLEN, on behalf of FOREVER DIMAONDS, offered and sold to Plaintiff a secured interest in FOREVER DIAMONDS for a total price of One Hundred Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$105,000.00). Defendant ALLEN acted as a broker-dealer, engaged in the trading of such security interest in FOREVER DIAMONDS. Defendant ALLEN represented that FOREVER DIAMONDS was the issuer of the security interest.
- 76. This sale constituted an issuer transaction by FOREVER DIAMONDS in that it, was part of an offering for capitalization purposes. Nevertheless, at the time of Plaintiff's purchase of the security interest in FOREVER DIAMONDS from Defendant ALLEN, the sale was subject to qualification, was not exempt from qualification, and was not and to date of this complaint has not been, qualified as any kind of securities transaction with the Commissioner of Corporations.
- 77. As a result of the above-described acts, Defendant ALLEN is liable to Plaintiff, who is entitled to, and hereby does, rescind the above-described purchase. Plaintiff before entry of judgment will tender to Defendants ALLEN and FOREVER DIAMONDS security of the above-described interest as purchased from Defendant and on which to date Plaintiff has received no income.
- As a result of the above-described acts, defendant is liable to plaintiff, who has been damaged in the sum of One Hundred Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$105,000.00), which is the value of the consideration that Plaintiff transferred to Defendant ALLEN.

COUNT ONE

(Pendent Claim - Joint and Several Liability of Offering Principal As Against Defendant FOREVER DIAMONDS)

- 79. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 9, 12 through 13, 40 through 58, 61 and 67 through 78, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 80. Defendant FOREVER DIAMONDS was, at the time of the acts alleged herein, the person on whose behalf the offering of the herein described sale of securities to Plaintiff was made.

E: CLIENTS La. Grace Pleadings First Amended Complaint 05:30:08 Final wpd

1	
1	
-	

3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COUNT TWO

(Pendent Claim - Joint and Several Liability of Management Principal As Against **Defendant ALLEN)**

- 81. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 9, 12 through 13, 40 through 58, 61 and 67 through 80, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 82. Defendant ALLEN was, at the time of the acts alleged herein, a person who, directly or indirectly, controlled FOREVER DIAMONDS in that he claimed to own the largest ownership interest of the business and claimed to be a principal member of the management of FOREVER DIAMONDS in that he performed the functions of president of the business.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- (Pendent Claim Rescission of Sale of Securities For Acting as an Unlicensed Broker-Dealer in Violation of California Corporation Code § 25210 et seq. and/or California Corporation Code § 25230 et seq., as Against Defendant ALLEN)
- 83. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 9, 12 through 13, 40 through 58, 61 and 67 through 80, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 84. At the time of sale and purchase, defendant was required to be licensed as a brokerdealer, but had not applied for and secured from the Commissioner of Corporations a certificate under California Corporations Code § 25200 authorizing defendant to act in that capacity.
- 85. As a result of the above-described acts, ALLEN is liable to plaintiff, who is entitled to, and hereby does, rescind the above-described purchase. Plaintiff will tender before entry of judgment to defendant her ownership interest in FOREVER DIAMONDS.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Pendent Claim - Accounting As Against Defendant ALLEN)

- 86. Plaintiff realleges Paragraphs 1 through 9, 12 through 13, 40 through 58, 61 and 67 through 84, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 111

11

10

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

///

111

///

27

28

- 87. On or about February 5, 2007, in San Diego County, California, Plaintiff and Defendant entered into an oral agreement whereby Defendant undertook the sole charge and management of Plaintiff's One Hundred Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$105,000.00) for the purpose of investing the funds in FOREVER DIAMONDS.
- 88. Thereafter, on or about February 26, 2007, in San Diego County, California, Plaintiff and Defendant entered into an oral agreement whereby Defendant undertook the sole charge and management of Plaintiff's Seventy Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$75,000.00) for the purpose of investing the funds in certificates of deposit and other investment instruments in Texas.
- 89. Defendant ALLEN's obligations under the agreement included the duty to care for and protect, in all particulars, the Plaintiff's financial interests in connection with the investments: to provide periodic statements of accounts of all moneys from the investment activities; and to pay over to Plaintiff all money received.
- 90. Between February 5, 2007 and February 26, 2007, in the course of the aforementioned charge and management, Defendant ALLEN received One Hundred Eighty Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$180,000.00) from Plaintiff. Defendant agreed to invest the monies on Plaintiff's behalf in a company called FOREVER DIAMONDS and in certificates of deposit and other investment instruments in Texas.
- 91. The amount of money due from Defendant ALLEN to Plaintiff is unknown to Plaintiff and cannot be ascertained without an accounting of the investment funds. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the amount owed, however, exceeds the sum of One Hundred Eighty Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$180,000.00).
- 92. On or about July 28, 2007, Plaintiff demanded that Defendant ALLEN account for the One Hundred Eighty Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$180,000.00), and pay the amount found due to Plaintiff; but Defendant has failed and refused, and continues to fail and refuse, to render the accounting and pay Plaintiff.

E. CLIENTS La. Grace Pleadings First Amended Complaint 05:30 08 Final wpd

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Pendent Claim - Breach of Fiduciary Duty As Against Defendant ALLEN)

- 93. Plaintiff realleges Paragraphs 1 through 92, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 94. Defendant ALLEN agent breached his fiduciary duty to Plaintiff as alleged in paragraphs 12 through 28, 41through 49, 55 through 58 and 65 through 66.
- 95. As the result of Defendant ALLEN's breach of fiduciary duty, Plaintiff has been damaged in the sum of One Hundred Eighty One Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$181,000.00) in that:
 - a. Plaintiff tendered a total sum of One Hundred Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$105,000.00) to ALLEN for the purpose of obtaining an ownership interest in FOREVER DIAMONDS, with the promise from ALLEN that she would begin enjoy profits no later than January 2008. To date, she has received no profits and no documentation of her ownership interest in the business, despite repeated demands;
 - b. Plaintiff tendered Seventy Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$75,000.00) to ALLEN, who promised to invest the money in certificates of deposit and other investment instruments on Plaintiff's behalf; to date, Plaintiff has received no written evidence that this occurred; and
 - c. Plaintiff loaned ALLEN a total sum of One Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$1,000.00), which ALLEN promised to repay. To date, despite repeated demands, ALLEN has failed and refused to repay the loan to Plaintiff.
 - 96. Defendant ALLEN used his position as Plaintiff's agent to obtain a secret profit by:
 - a. accepting One Hundred Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$105,000.00) for the purpose of investing the monies with FOREVER DIAMONDS on behalf of Plaintiff but failing to provide Plaintiff with any written evidence of her ownership interest in the business;
 - accepting Seventy Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$75,000.00) from
 Plaintiff for the purpose of investing the money in certificates of deposit and

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION:

25

26

27

- 1. For compensatory damages according to proof, plus interest, as allowed by law;
- 2. For punitive damages according to proof;
- 3. For costs of suit herein; and
- 4. For reasonable attorneys' fees, according to proof; and

Case	3:08-cv-005	32-WQH-WMC Document 6 Filed 05/30/2008 Page 20 of 23			
1	5.	For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.			
2	2 SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION:				
3	1.	For damages according to proof;			
4	2.	For interest on said damages at the maximum legal rate, from February 5, 2007;			
5	3.	For costs of suit herein; and			
6	4.	For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.			
7	7 THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION:				
8	1.	For the sum of One Hundred Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$105,000.00);			
9	2.	For interest on said damages at the maximum legal rate, from February 5, 2007;			
10	3.	For attorneys' fees in an amount to be determined at trial;			
11	4.	For costs of suit herein incurred; and			
12	5.	For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.			
13	FOURTH CA	USE OF ACTION:			
14	1.	For damages according to proof;			
15	2.	For interest on said damages at the maximum legal rate, from February 26, 2007;			
16	3.	For punitive damages in an amount appropriate to punish Defendants and to deter			
17		others from engaging in similar misconduct;			
18	4.	For costs of suit herein; and			
19	5.	For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.			
20	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION:				
21	1.	For damages according to proof;			
22	2.	For interest on said damages at the maximum legal rate, from February 26, 2007;			
23	3.	For punitive damages in an amount appropriate to punish Defendant and to deter			
24		others from engaging in similar misconduct;			
25	4.	For costs of suit herein; and			
26	5.	For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.			
27	///				
28	///				

- 3
- 45
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15

- 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 2425
- 26
- 27
- 28

- 1. Rescinding the sale of the securities and ordering Defendants ALLEN and FOREVER DIAMONDS to pay to Plaintiff the sum of One Hundred Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$105,000.00), the consideration paid of the securities, pursuant to California Corporation Code § 25501;
 - 2. For interest on the original consideration at the legal rate from February 5, 2007;
 - 3. For costs of the suit herein incurred; and
 - 4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION:

- 1. Rescinding the sale of the securities and ordering Defendants ALLEN and FOREVER DIAMONDS to pay to Plaintiff the sum of One Hundred Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$105,000.00), the consideration paid of the securities;
 - 2. For interest on the original consideration at the legal rate from February 5, 2007;
 - 3. For costs of the suit herein incurred; and
 - 4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION:

- 1. The consideration paid for the security interest in FOREVER DIAMONDS, in the sum of One Hundred Five Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$105,000.00);
 - 2. For interest on the original consideration at the legal rate from February 5, 2007;
 - 3. Reasonable attorneys' fees, pursuant to California Corporation Code § 25501.5(b);
 - 4. For costs of the suit herein incurred;
- 5. Because Defendant ALLEN caused injury or damage to Plaintiff as a result of performing services for which a license was required under Corporation Code § 25210, et seq. and/or Corporation Code § 25230 et seq., Plaintiff is entitled to treble damages as provided in Code of Civil Procedure § 1029.8; and
 - 6. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION:

1. For an accounting between Plaintiff and Defendant;

26

27